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1. BACKGROUND

The Supply Chain Management Policy of Council and the Municipal Supply Chain Management regulations, promulgated in the Government Gazette number 27636 on the 30th May 2005, regulate the appointment of Consultants. Consultants may be procured taking into consideration the Treasury guidelines when such appointments are made. (Section 35 of the Municipal Supply Chain Management regulations.)

To align the South African public Sector procurement system with international best practices, the following procedures should be followed for selecting, contracting and monitoring consultants required for projects:

2. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Chapter is to explain the procedures for selecting, contracting, and monitoring consultants required for projects. Only the peculiarities of appointing consultants are dealt with herein, as the services to which these procedures apply are of an intellectual and advisory nature. These procedures do not apply to general services such as construction works, manufacture of goods, operation and maintenance of facilities or plants, surveys, exploratory drilling, aerial photography, satellite imagery, catering, cleaning and security in which the physical aspects of the activity predominate.

2.1 It must be clearly pointed out that the appointment of Transaction Advisors as defined in Government Gazette number 27431 of 01 April – Municipal Public-Private Partnerships Regulations, who are to be appointed by institutions to render advice in relation to Public-Private Partnerships, should be done in terms of the practice note issued by the National Treasury specifically for that purpose.

2.2 For the purpose of this Guide, the term consultant includes, among others, consulting firms, engineering firms, construction managers, management firms, procurement agents, inspection agents, auditors, other multinational organizations, investment and merchant banks, universities, research agencies, government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and individuals.

2.3 Accounting officers/authorities may use these organizations as consultants to assist in a wide range of activities such as policy advice, accounting officer’s/authority’s reform management, engineering services, construction supervision, financial services, procurement services, social and environmental studies and Identification, preparation and implementation of projects to complement accounting officers’/authorities’ capabilities in these areas.

2.4 Consultants should only be engaged when the necessary skills and/or resources to perform a project/duty/study are not available and the accounting officer/authority cannot be reasonably expected either to train or to recruit people in the time available.
3. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this policy the following definitions apply;

“Accounting Officer” – The Municipal Manager
“Budget” – The appropriated funds for the Department in terms of the MFMA 56 of 2003
“Chief Financial Officer” – means a person designated in terms of section 80 (2)(a)
“Consultant” – An external person or organization that provides a service to the department in those areas, where the department either lacks the required specialized skills or capacity.
“Lack of capacity” – Insufficient physical resources within the Department, relevant knowledge expertise and experience that may not exist within the department.

4. OBJECTIVE

To give a framework on the appointment of consultants, to ensure that consultants are remunerated within a regulated environment that is fair and equitable, thereby the value added to the municipality and the department.

5. SCOPE OF APPLICATION

This document informs departmental officials of the policy on the appointment of consultants.

6. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

The Municipality’s operations are governed by an array of different acts and this policy should be understood within that context.

The following acts and prescripts are central in defining the municipality’s boundaries and areas of influence:

- Municipal Finance Management Act - Act 56 of 2003
- Supply Chain Management Regulations- GG 27636 30 May 2005
- Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act – Act 5 of 2000 as amended
- National Treasury guidelines and prescripts

7. POLICY

7.1 APPOINTMENT OF CONSULTANTS

All appointment of consultants shall be in writing recommended by the Department and approved by the Accounting Officer.
Responsible managers may recommend the appointment of consultants to render specific services, should they be of the opinion that the Department lacks the required skills or necessary capacity and those funds are available within the approved council budgets.

The responsible manager will be responsible to prepare the “Terms of Reference” and submission to the Accounting Officer for competitive process purposes.

7.2 PRIMARY REASONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF CONSULTANTS

(a) To provide specialized services for limited periods without any obligation of permanent employment
(b) To benefit from superior knowledge, transfer of skills and upgrading of a knowledge base while executing and assignment
(c) To provide independent advise on the most suitable approaches, methodologies and solutions of projects

7.3 APPLICABILITY OF PROCEDURES

The procedures outlined herein apply to all contracts for consulting services in procuring consulting services; the accounting officer/authority should satisfy himself/herself that:

(a) The procedures to be used will result in the selection of consultants who have the necessary professional qualifications'
(b) The selected consultants will carry out the assignment in accordance with the agreed schedule; and
(c) The scope of the services in consistent with the needs of the project.

7.4 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS WHEN APPOINTING CONSULTANTS

(a) Meeting the highest standards of quality and efficiency;
(b) Obtaining advice that is unbiased, that is being delivered by a consultant acting independently from any affiliation, economic or otherwise, which
(c) May cause conflict between the consultants interest and those of the municipality; and
(d) Ensuring the advice proposed or assignment executed, meeting the ethical principles of the consultancy profession’s.

7.5 GENERAL APPROACH

The four major considerations should guide the accounting officer on the selection policy
(a) The need for high-quality services
(b) The need for economy efficiency
(c) The need to give qualified consultants an opportunity to compete in providing the services; and
(d) The importance of transparency in the selection process.

7.6 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Consultants are requested to provide professional, objective and impartial advice and that all times holds the client’s interest paramount, without consideration for future work and strictly avoids conflicts with other assignments or their own corporate interests. Consultants should not be hired for any assignment that would be in conflict with their prior or current obligations to other clients, or that may place them in a position of not being able to carry out the assignment in the best interest of the municipality. Without limitation on the generality of this rule, consultants should not be hired under the following circumstances;

(a) A firm, which has been engaged by the accounting officer to provide goods or works for a project and any of its affiliates, should be disqualified from providing consulting services for the same project. Similar, a firm hired to provide consulting services for the preparation or implementation of a project and any of its affiliates should be disqualified from subsequently providing goods or works or services related to the initial assignment.

(b) Consultant or any of their affiliates should not be hired for any assignment which, by its nature, may conflict with another assignment of the consultants. Example, consultants hired to prepare an engineering design for an infrastructure project should not be engaged to prepare an independent environmental assessment for the same project. Neither assisting a client in the privatization of public assets should neither purchase nor advice purchasers of such assets.

7.7 ASSOCIATION BETWEEN CONSULTANTS

Consultants may associate with each other or complement their respective areas of expertise, or for other reasons. Such an association may be for long term (independent of any particular assignment) or for a specific assignment. The association may take the form of a joint venture which should sign the contract and are jointly and severally liable for the entire assignments.

7.8 SELECTION METHODS FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF CONSULTANTS

(a) Quality and Cost based selection
(b) Quality based selection
(c) Selection under a fixed budget
(d) Least cost selection
(e) Single source selection
In determining the most appropriate approach, it may be useful to ask: What sort of Consultancy do I require? Is it for:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Use Quality and Cost Base Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An assignment that is not complex or specialized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A complex or high specialized assignment for which consultants are expected to demonstrate innovation in their proposals (for example, financial sector reforms)</td>
<td>Use Quality-Based Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An assignment that has a high downstream impact and requires the best available experts (for example, management studies of large government agencies)</td>
<td>Use Quality Based Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An assignment that could be carried out in substantially different ways, hence proposals will not be comparable (for example, sector and policy studies in which the value of the services depends on the quality of the analysis)</td>
<td>Use Quality Based Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A simple assignment, which is precisely defined and the budget fixed</td>
<td>Use Selection under a fixed budget, but evaluate technical proposals first as in Quality Based Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A standard or routine assignment (e.g. an audit, engineering design of non-complex works)</td>
<td>Use Least-cost selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A very small assignment which does not justify the preparation and evaluation of competitive proposals</td>
<td>Selection based on consultants, qualifications, can be obtained from the list of panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An assignment where only one firm is qualified or has experience of exceptional worth for the assignment</td>
<td>Use Single Source selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A task that represents a natural continuation of previous work carried out by the firm</td>
<td>Use Single Source selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other situation</td>
<td>Use Quality and cost based selection, either by requesting a BID or PROPOSAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR BIDS

The following steps would generally be followed in appointing consultants where a clear Terms of Reference (TOR), including a detailed task directive has been compiled and the objectives, goals and scope of the assignment are clearly defined.

| Preparation of the “Terms of Reference” (TOR) | The accounting officer/authority should prepare the TOR. The scope of the services described should be compatible with the available budget. The TOR should define clearly the task directive (methodology), objectives, goals and scope of the assignment and provide background information, including a list of existing relevant studies and basic data, to facilitate the consultants’ preparation of their bids. Time frames linked to various tasks should be specified, as well as the frequency of monitoring actions. The respective responsibilities of the accounting officer/authority and the consultant should be clearly defined. The evaluation criteria, their respective weights, the minimum qualifying score for functionality and the values that will be applied for evaluation should be clearly indicated. The evaluation criteria should include at least the following:

- Consultant’s experience relevant to assignment;
- The quality of the methodology;
- The qualifications of key personnel; and
- The transfer of knowledge (where applicable).

In more complicated projects, provision may also be made for pre-bid briefing sessions or presentations by bidders as part of the evaluation process.

Preference point system in terms of the PPPFA and its associated Regulations will be applicable |
If transfer of knowledge or training is an objective, it should be specifically outlined along with details of number of staff to be trained, etc. to enable consultants to estimate the required resources. The TOR should list the services and surveys necessary to carry out the assignment and the expected outputs (for example reports, data, maps, surveys, etc), where applicable.

Evaluation criteria could be divided into sub criteria.

Preparation of a well-thought-through cost estimate is essential if realistic budgetary Resources are to be earmarked. The cost estimate should be based on the accounting

The TOR should specify the validity period (Normally 60 – 90 days).

The TOR should form part of the standard bid documentation to be approved by the Bid Specification committee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-Based Selection (QBS)</th>
<th>QBS is appropriate for the following types of assignments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- complex or highly specialized assignments for which it is difficult to define precise TOR and the required input from the consultants and for which the client expects the consultants to demonstrate innovation in their proposals (for example, country economic or sector studies, multi-sectorial feasibility studies, design of a hazardous waste remediation plant or of an urban master plan, financial sector reforms);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- assignments that have a high downstream impact and in which</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the objective is to have the best experts (for example, feasibility and structural engineering design of such major infrastructure as large dams, policy studies of national significance, management studies of large government agencies); and

- Assignments that can be carried out in substantially different ways, such that proposals will not be comparable (for example, management advice and sector and policy studies in which the value of the services depends on the quality of the analysis).

In QBS, the RFP may request submission of a technical proposal only (without the financial proposal), or request submission of both technical and financial proposals at the same time, but in separate Envelopes (two-envelope system).

The RFP should not disclose the estimated budget, but it may provide the estimated number of key staff time, specifying that this information is given as an indication only and that consultants are free to propose their own Estimates. If technical proposals alone were invited, after evaluating the technical proposals using the same methodology as in QCBS, the Accounting officer / authority should request the consultant with the highest ranked technical proposal to submit a detailed financial proposal.

The accounting officer / authority and the consultant should then negotiate the financial proposal and the Contract. All other aspects of the selection process should be identical to those of QCBS. If, however, consultants were requested to provide financial proposals initially together with the technical proposals,
Safeguards should be built in to ensure that the price envelope of only the selected proposal is opened and the rest returned unopened, after the negotiations are successfully concluded.

**Selection under a fixed budget**

This method is appropriate only when the assignment is simple and can be precisely defined and when the budget is fixed. The RFP should indicate the available budget and request the consultants to provide their best Technical and financial proposals in separate envelopes, within the Budget.

The TOR should be particularly well prepared to ensure that the budget is sufficient for the consultants to perform the expected tasks. Evaluation of all technical proposals should be carried out first as in the QCBS method, where after the price envelopes should be opened in Public. Proposals that exceed the indicated budget should be rejected. The consultant who has submitted the highest ranked technical proposal should be selected and invited to Negotiate a contract.

**Least-cost selection**

This method is more appropriate to selection of consultants for assignments of a standard or routine nature (audits, engineering design of non-complex works, and so forth) where well-established practices and standards exist and in which the contract amount is small. Under this method, a “minimum” qualifying mark for the “functionality” is established. Proposals to be submitted in two envelopes are invited. Technical envelopes are opened first and evaluated. Those securing less than the minimum mark should be rejected and the financial envelopes of the rest are opened in public. The firm with the highest points should then be selected. Under this method, the qualifying minimum
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection based on consultants’ qualifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This method may be used for very small assignments for which the need for preparing and evaluating competitive proposals is not justified. In such cases, the accounting officer / authority should prepare the TOR, request expressions of interest and information on the consultants’ experience and competence relevant to the assignment and select the firm with the most appropriate qualifications and references. The selected firm should be requested to submit a combined technical-financial proposal and then be invited to negotiate the contract.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single-source selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-source selection of consultants does not provide the benefits of competition in regard to quality and cost and lacks transparency in selection and could encourage unacceptable practices. Therefore, single-source selection should be used only in exceptional cases. The justification for single-source selection should be examined in the context of the overall interests of the client and the project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single-source selection may be appropriate only if it presents a clear advantage over competition:

- for tasks that represent a natural continuation of previous work carried out by the firm;
- where a rapid selection is essential (for example, in an emergency operation);
- for very small assignments; or
- When only one firm is qualified or has experience of exceptional worth for the assignment.

The reasons for a single-source selection should be recorded and approved by the
accounting officer / authority or his / her delegate Prior to the conclusion of a contract.

When continuity for downstream work is essential, the initial RFP should outline this prospect and if practical, the factors used for the selection of the consultant should take the likelihood of continuation into account. Continuity in the technical approach, experience acquired and continued professional liability of the same consultant may make continuation with the initial consultant preferable to a new competition, subject to satisfactory performance in the initial assignment. For such downstream assignments, the accounting officer / authority should ask the initially selected consultant to prepare technical and financial proposals on the basis of TOR furnished by the accounting officer / authority, which should then be negotiated.

If the initial assignment was not awarded on a competitive basis or was awarded under tied financing or if the downstream assignment is substantially larger in value, a competitive process acceptable to the accounting officer / authority should normally be followed in which the consultant carrying out the initial work is not excluded from consideration if it expresses interest.

Where, in exceptional instances, it is impractical to appoint the required consultants through a competitive bidding process and a South African based consultant is used, the Guidelines on Hourly Fee Rates for Consultants issued by the Department of Public Service and Administration should be used as a benchmark to establish the appropriate tariffs, or to determine the reasonableness of the tariffs.
| Selection of individual consultants | Individual consultants may normally be employed on assignments for which:  
- teams of personnel are not required;  
- no additional outside (home office) professional support is required; and  
- the experience and qualifications of the individual are the paramount requirement.  

When coordination, administration, or collective responsibility may become difficult because of the number of individuals, it would be advisable to employ a firm.  

Individual consultants should be selected on the basis of their qualifications for the assignment. They may be selected on the basis of references or through comparison of qualifications among those expressing interest in the assignment or approached directly by the accounting officer / authority. Individuals employed by the accounting officer / authority should meet all relevant qualifications and should be fully capable of carrying out the assignment. Capability is judged on the basis of academic background, experience and as appropriate, knowledge of the local conditions, such as local language, culture, administrative system and government organization.  

From time to time, permanent staff or associates of a consulting firm may be available as individual consultants. In such cases, the conflict of interest provisions described in these guidelines should apply to the parent firm. |
|---|---|
| Selection of particular types of consultants | Use of Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs).  
NGOs are voluntary nonprofit organizations that may be uniquely |

qualified to assist in the preparation, management, and implementation of projects, essentially because of their involvement and knowledge of local issues, community needs, and/or participatory approaches. NGOs may be included in the short list if they express interest and provided that the accounting officer / Authority is satisfied with their qualifications. For assignments that emphasize participation and considerable local knowledge, the short list may be entirely NGOs. If so, the QCBS procedure should be followed and the evaluation criteria should reflect the unique qualifications of NGOs, such as voluntarism, non-profit status, local knowledge, scale of operation, and reputation. An accounting officer / authority may select the NGO on a single-source basis, provided the criteria outlined for single source selection are fulfilled.

Inspection Agents.

Accounting officers / authorities may wish to employ inspection agencies to inspect and certify goods prior to shipment or on arrival in the country. The inspection by such agencies usually covers the quality and quantity of the goods concerned and reasonableness of price. Inspection agencies should be registered with the South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) and the services of these inspection agents should be obtained by means of competitive bidding.

Banks. Investment and commercial banks, financial firms, and fund managers hired by accounting officers / authorities for the sale of assets, issuance of financial instruments and other corporate financial transactions, notably in the context of privatization operations, should be selected under QCBS. The RFP should
specify selection criteria relevant to the activity — for example, experience in similar assignments or network of potential purchasers — and the cost of the services. In addition to the conventional remuneration (called a "retainer fee"), the compensation includes a "success fee." This fee can be fixed, but is usually expressed as a percentage of the value of the assets or other financial instruments to be sold. The RFP should indicate that the cost evaluation will take into account the success fee, either in combination with the retainer fee or alone. If alone, a standard retainer fee should be prescribed for all short-listed consultants and indicated in the RFP, and the financial scores should be based on the success fee as a percentage of a pre-disclosed notional value of the assets. For the combined evaluation (notably for large contracts), cost may be accorded a weight higher or the selection may be based on cost alone among those who secure a minimum passing mark for the quality of the proposal. The RFP should specify clearly how proposals will be presented and how they will be compared.

Auditors.

Auditors typically carry out auditing tasks under well-defined TOR and professional standards. They should be selected according to QCBS. When consultants are appointed to execute an audit function on behalf of the accounting officer / authority, the tariffs agreed by the Auditor–General and the South African Institute for Chartered Accountants (SAICA) may be used as a guideline to determine the appropriate tariff or to determine the reasonableness of the tariffs. These tariffs can be obtained from SAICA’s website under www.saica.co.za. The tariffs are captured in a circular issued by SAICA.
9 TRAINING OR TRANSFER OF KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS

If the assignment includes an important component for training or transfer of knowledge and skills, the terms of reference should indicate the objectives, nature, scope and goals of the training program, including details of trainers and trainees, skills to be transferred, time frames and monitoring and evaluation arrangements. The cost for training program should be included in the consultant’s contract and the budget for the assignment.

10 EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT

(a) All appointments shall be by means of a written contract or agreement, between the Municipality and Consultant concerned
(b) The accounting officer or Delegated official shall sign as the duly appointed representative of Council
(c) The council may approve the extension through section 116 of the MFMA provided:
   1. Sufficient funds are available
   2. The extension is required as a result of additional operational requirements
   3. The original terms of reference have not been deviated from; and
   4. Delivery has been satisfactory and in accordance with the terms of reference

11 TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Written contracts or agreements shall, as a minimum, contain the following

(a) Defined deliverables
(b) The mechanism allowing for the frequent monitoring of progress in terms of the agreed objectives;
(c) The period of employment
(d) The terms and conditions in terms of a specific regulation, code or collective agreement or tender/bid;
(e) The notice period required by either side to terminate the contract
(f) The rates agreed upon
(g) The frequency of payments; and
(h) The method of payment

12 REMUNERATION OF CONSULTANTS

The remuneration of a consultant appointed on contract shall be;

(a) Where the consultant belongs to a professional body, the rate of remuneration as stipulated by that body; and
(b) In any other case, the rate stipulated as per competitive process

13 MANAGEMENT REPORTING

(a) Annual Reporting, Payments paid to consultants during the financial year shall be disclosed as per note in the Annual Financial Statements and the Annual report

14 COMMENCEMENT

This policy takes effect on the date on which it is adopted by the council.